

2019 – Discussion Cards per Household

Posting Approved by Gail Vanderhoof February 11, 2019 9:11am

The GVR Single Household issue is one of the top priorities of the Board Affairs Committee.

GVR members may purchase a guest card for their primary residence. Cards are valid for one calendar year (January 1 – December 31) and guests must live outside a 20 mile radius of Green Valley. Guests are temporary visitors and is valid for an unlimited amount of guests throughout the calendar year. Tenants are not authorized to purchase annual guest cards, but may purchase daily guest cards.

Complimentary permanent Guest Cards have been issued to single households for many years in the past. But since March 2016 free Guest Cards have not been issued. A few single households continue to hold free guest cards. They are grandfathered in, and now require annual renewal.

Second Card for Named Resident

BAC is in agreement that a second card should be free and permanent for a second permanent resident. The second resident could be a spouse not on the deed, a significant other, child, other relative, roommate – any person permanently residing with the GVR Member. The card would be issued with the resident's name and photo with no additional cost. Proof of marriage and/or residency would be required. A small administrative fee would be charged at time of issue.

Unnamed Temporary Visitors – Guest Card or Restricted Use Card?

Either a Guest Card or a Restricted Use Card would be used by the temporary guest or guests of the primary resident in the household. The free card would be permanent and need no renewal. Either card would be good for facility use only and would include clubs (subject to individual club rules)

Guest Card

A permanent Guest Card would be issued to single households. The card would have the name and photo of the head of the household. The Guest Card could be used by temporary visitors and would be valid for an unlimited amount of guests. Guest cards are good for facility use only.

Upside: 1 traditional solution 2 simplest solution. Downside 1 multiple guests

Restricted Use Card

A permanent Restricted Use Card would be issued to single households. The card would allow a single guest at a time to use the facilities. It would not be a Guest Card which allows multiple guests access to GVR facilities. If the member had two guests, a Guest Card must be purchased.

Upside: 1 Single guest. Downside 1 More complex

Details Restricted Use:

Two GVR ID cards per Member occupied residence per the following:

1. GVR member and GVR member - Two regular cards with no additional cost.
2. GVR member and non-member spouse - two regular cards with no additional cost*.
3. GVR member and significant other, child, other relative, etc. permanently residing with the GVR Member. - two regular cards** with no additional cost.
4. Single household GVR member - one regular GVR card and one Restricted Use Card*** at no additional cost.
5. GVR Trust/Corporation member - one regular GVR card and one Restricted Use Card*** at no additional cost.
6. Assigned Member - same as numbers 1, 2, 3 or 4 above depending on the circumstances of the Assigned Member (does not include Tenants).

* Proof of marriage required.

** Same as current Additional Card Holder but no cost for first additional card. More than one additional card for a fee. Proof of residency required.

*** Card may be used by only one individual visitor at a time of the single household member/Corporation or Trust. If the single household member or Corporation/Trust has more than one visitor at the same time, then a guest card required for the second or more visitors to have access to the facilities at the same time as Restricted Use Card holder.

Guest card policy the same for all of the above categories.

GVR Property with Multiple People on Deed.

If more than two persons are named on the deed, each additional person must pay the fee charged for a third card.

Comments from Committee members: :

Bob Northrup

What a wonderful summary of the issues facing us regarding single member households!

I believe this could/should be separated into 2 proposals:

1. The 'Second card for Named Resident' for single homeowners.

This is a benefit for a 2-person household with only one on the title. I think this is a simple issue with not much resistance. If only this is changed, a single homeowner without a second resident would still be required to purchase an Annual Guest Card. I believe guests are for a residence, not necessarily one person or another. It would not require the purchase of an Additional Card Holder card where there are 2 residing on the property. The second card would have the picture of the second authorized person residing in the house. The financial implications for this is that an Additional Card Holder would not be required to be purchased.

2. What to provide for a single homeowner who does not have another person residing with them.

Having a permanent Guest card issued to single households would be a "manageable" solution for them. This would be somewhat of a consideration, but to me a Guest Card is for the household, not a particular individual. This would be unfair to existing 2-owner households who are required to purchase the annual Guest Card for their guests.

Regarding the Restricted Use Card: The concern here is managing the different scenarios. The potential for misuse would exist in those presented. The definition given is clear in defining a "Restricted Use Card". Allowing this for a single owner residence where there is no second person residing is, in essence, giving that owner a Daily Guest Card which could be used at any time during the year. This, too, would be unfair to existing 2-owner households. This card, whether no picture or the homeowners picture is on it, is still open to misuse as an unlimited Guest Card where multiple persons could use it at the same time.

I am in favor of the first item above: Giving the second resident a card with their photo on the card. I also believe this person should be given the benefits of a Regular Member with the exception of voting and serving on the Board. They should be allowed in Clubs, Committees, and be on the Board of a Club in addition to use of all the facilities. Perhaps there are more benefits which should be examined in addition to those I mentioned.

But I am against the second proposal in any form due to the unfairness to current 2-owner households and opening up more potential for misuse.

I still believe a card should have the photo of the person holding it with the exception of an Annual Guest Card.

In addition, I believe the issue where some complimentary Annual Guest Cards were given to single households should be rescinded. If you're talking fair, this is certainly not fair to current single households or those becoming single since 2016.

My thoughts.
Bob

John Haggerty

Bob,

So, Bob your preference is to do away with the fee for the additional cardholder card and then leave everything else the same except rescind the grandfathered complimentary guest cards for single households. I don't think that solves anything and in fact makes the fairness issue worse.

John

Bob Northrup

Let's talk fair.

Getting rid of the Additional Card Holder for the 2nd resident of a single owner makes it the same price for them as a couple on the title. Is this fair? I'm not talking about getting rid of the Additional Card Holder completely. One would still need it for the 3rd and additional residents.

I don't see that as making it worse.

While we are trying to make things "fair" for single homeowners, we are making it unfair to the 2-owner householders. There are a bunch more 2-owner residents than single-owner ones.

And do you think it's fair that some single owners get a free guest card and other don't?

Bob

John Haggerty

Bob,

I think we need to stop thinking about this issue in terms of people and start thinking about it in terms of GVR roof tops. Two cards per roof top (primary residences not rental properties). The issue should be how to designate those cards.

John

Bob Northrup

Precisely. I know that is what the committee is attempting to do. But I fail to see how a rooftop can attend a facility. To me, a card is for an individual...a person...with a face. If that's the way the committee wishes to go, so be it. But that is my opinion. I'll see you tomorrow.

Bob

